



AURA Newsletter

THE NEWSLETTER OF THE AUSTRALIAN ROCK ART RESEARCH ASSOCIATION (AURA) INC.

Volume 30, Number 1

July 2013

30/1

Brazil in the context of early South American rock art

ROBERT G. BEDNARIK

Abstract. The antiquity of Brazilian rock art is reviewed in the context of that of South American rock art generally. Recent trends in the rock art research of this continent seem to resemble those in other continents, including North America. Particular reference is made to recent work in Argentina and Bolivia. In Brazil, the rock art particularly of the Noreste region is considered, and some points are raised concerning current ideas and also concerning issues that might profitably be investigated in the future. Particular attention is given to the application of taphonomic logic.

Introduction

Recent discoveries and research results from South American rock art sites suggest that, as in the rest of the world, petroglyphs have survived longer there than rock paintings in most cases, and therefore the earliest art traditions found are of petroglyphs rather than pictograms. Worldwide, evidence of petroglyphs extends all the way back to the Lower Palaeolithic period, with two finds from the pre-Acheulian of India (Bednarik 1993, 1996a; Kumar 1996). This rock art consists largely of cupules, which applies also to the oldest known sites in Africa (Clark 1958; Beaumont and Bednarik 2013). Middle Palaeolithic rock art is common, often also occurring as cupules (e.g. Peyrony 1934; de Beaune 1993; Bednarik 2013). Although we have numerous finds of very early pigment use, in the form of haematite or other iron oxides and ferric oxides, extending back over one million years (Bednarik 1990, 1994a; Beaumont and Bednarik 2013), there are no pigmented rock markings known from these early periods. However, in a few cases it has been suggested that such pigment crayons were used to colour rock surfaces in the Acheulian (Marshack 1981; Bednarik 1990), but there is no realistic hope of ever finding such early rock drawings. The simple taphonomic truth is that unprotected paintings or drawings can only survive for up to about 10 000 years, even in rockshelters. In arid regions this figure might be a little higher, in regions of wet climates it is certainly much lower, and it is neither a coincidence that all *major* early surviving rock painting traditions are reported in arid or semi-arid regions, nor that they all begin around the same time. Paintings can survive considerably longer when they are concealed under a protective skin, such as

silica, or in deep limestone caves. Out in the open, however, nearly all surviving Pleistocene rock art in the world seems to consist of petroglyphs.

Despite the shorter occupation of the Americas, compared to that of the other continents, these fundamental principles may also apply there, at least in a general sense. This is then not so much to be seen as evidence of a parallel development, but of the universal principles of taphonomic logic. In North America, cup-and-groove petroglyphs appear to be the oldest rock art to be found, as reported in the Great Basin by Heizer and Baumhoff (1962; Baumhoff 1980) and confirmed by others for the west coast (Nissen and Ritter 1986; Parkman 1992). The earliest surviving rock art in Australia, Asia and Africa is also of cupules and linear grooves, and the oldest known rock art in Europe is of cupules (Bednarik 1994a).

South America

In South America, very early petroglyphs remained widely neglected, but some of the oldest dated rock art was reported from Cueva Epullán Grande in western Argentina (Crivelli M. and Fernandez 1996). Sets of sub-parallel grooves occur on the horizontal bedrock of a small sandstone cave, where they were found covered by a series of occupation deposits. The lowest charcoal found stratigraphically above this panel is about 10 000 years old, which implies that the petroglyphs must be somewhat older and thus of the Pleistocene. In addition to the buried petroglyphs, others occur above ground, on the walls of the cave. While their chronological relationship to the markings under the sediment cannot be ascertained, the possibility that

these other petroglyphs are of similar antiquity must be considered.

What is particularly striking about the petroglyphs of Cueva Epullán Grande is how very similar these markings are to the Pleistocene cave petroglyphs of Australia: linear grooves, convergent lines motifs and cupules, as they occur at the Argentine site, are quite typical of the early Australian rock art traditions, and most particularly they are practically identical in the cave petroglyphs on that continent's south coast. I should note here that, while cupules are generally recognised to be amongst the earliest rock art in Australia (Taçon et al. 1997; Welch 1993), this excludes the cupules of Jinnium (Fullagar et al. 1996) which are of the Holocene (Bednarik 1996b), as are many others found in Australia.

Cupules and simple linear petroglyphs are as widespread in many parts of South America (Dubelaar 1986), Mesoamerica (Stone 1972) and Mexico (Mountjoy 1987) as they are in other parts of the world, and their occurrence does by no means necessarily indicate very great antiquity, because such markings were also produced much more recently. Nevertheless, the chronological pattern which consistently attributes the greatest ages to a specific set of motifs and marking types is so universal, applying to often apparently unconnected cultures, that it deserves special consideration. This pattern is similar in Bolivia. The oldest petroglyphs I have seen in Bolivia are the early phase cupules of Inca Huasi, a site on sandstone and quartzite near Mizque, and those at Bola Chanka, Santivañez petroglyph complex. They are probably of the early Holocene, occurring on particularly weathering-resistant quartzite dykes in both cases (Bednarik 2000; Bednarik et al. in press). These cupules are always randomly arranged and vary in size, whereas the more recent cupules on the softer sandstone of Inca Huasi are arranged geometrically. This second tradition occurs also at Cueva Toro Muerto near Saipina, where it is up to 4500 years or so old (Bednarik 1988, 1998). However, cupules are also found in more recent traditions of Bolivia, for instance at the Lakatambo (at Mizque) and in the Kalatrancani complex (near Cochabamba) boulder sites, where they are safely dated to the second millennium CE (Bednarik 1998; Bednarik et al. in press). Similar chronological patterns are apparent in Peru (Parkman 1994).

Brazil

The claims for greatest rock art antiquity in South America have been made for Toca do Boqueirão do Sítio da Pedra Furada in Piauí. The pioneer work of Professor Niède Guidon has demonstrated surprisingly early occupation evidence from this huge rockshelter, apparently of up to 40–50000 years BP (Guidon 1975, 1981, 1984, 1985; Bednarik 1989; Parenti 1993).

The principal site of Guidon's long-term research project in southern Piauí, Pedra Furada, contains an impressive panel of some 1600 rock paintings, including superb polychrome depictions and miniatures in red paint. However, this entire panel occurs on an unstable sandstone shelter wall that is subject to rapid laminar exfoliation. Indeed, the rockshelter owes its existence to the progressive receding of the cliff face just above the sediment, caused by the capillary moisture

rising in the porous sandstone and causing subcutaneous salt formation and *Salzsprengung* (expansion by salt growth, in which the active substance may be any salt). The sediment becomes regularly waterlogged when water descends down the rock chutes on either side of the site (Bednarik 1989: Fig. 2). Because of the rapid laminar exfoliation process forming the shelter the extant rock paintings are certainly quite recent. Two such exfoliated wall fragments bearing what appear to be traces of paint residues have been excavated from Pleistocene layers (Guidon and Delibrias 1986), but they cannot be from the present rock paintings. This does not exclude the possibility that there were much earlier paintings present that have fallen victim to exfoliation, and that the two fragments derive from this tradition. However, the periodically waterlogged sediment would have mobilised the chemical components of any pigment traces. It is more likely that the two fragments, one of which is doubtful, were displaced by rodent burrowing or termite activity, both of which are pronounced at the site (Bednarik 1989).

Nevertheless, there is no doubt about the considerable age of some rock paintings at another site excavated by Professor Guidon, Perna 1 on the Serra Talhada near São Raimundo Nonato. Here, a 3-m-long panel of almost 100 small red figures extends up to 1.2 m below ground level, in an exceptionally dry and well-aerated sediment of very coarse quartz sand. The paintings reach almost down to an occupation layer of in places nearly solidly packed charcoal, dated to about 9500 years BP, which suggests the paintings are of final Pleistocene to early Holocene age (Bednarik 1989). They are the earliest dated rock paintings in the Americas. Marvin Rowe and his colleagues have reported from Perna 1 a fragment of a pigment ball that may have been worn as an ornament, which they dated at 15250 ± 335 BP, but this result is at odds with the evidence that the shelter's sediment sequence apparently only commences at about 12000 BP (Chaffee et al. 1993). Both results could be correct, however, if the pigment ball had been re-used or handed down in some way. The issue has to remain open.

Petroglyph sites also occur in the region, and my general impression is that they are better contenders for Pleistocene antiquity than rock paintings. The totally patinated geometric motifs of Caiçaras on the upper Rio Piauí would be of interest here, particularly as I observed very archaic stone tools at the site in 1987 and 2009. Another site of archaic petroglyphs is at Riacho Santana, where traditions of different ages occur. The older rock art tradition consists of arcs, circles, dissected circles, radial designs, parallel lines, zigzags, convergent lines motifs and mazes — precisely the range of motifs one finds in archaic petroglyphs of many regions in other continents. Of course this does not prove any particular antiquity, but geologically these figures appear more ancient than the paintings, having been subjected to a great deal of weathering.

Rock art occurs in many regions of Brazil, from the tributaries of the Amazon (and also on the lower Amazon, e.g. near Santarém) in the north to Rio Grande do Sul in the south, from Mato Grosso to the Noreste. However, credible claims for Pleistocene antiquity of this art have so far remained limited to southern Piauí.

Discussion

The key to understanding the distribution and surviving forms of very early rock art is its taphonomy. Without the application of taphonomic logic it is entirely pointless to interpret quantitative and even qualitative variables of any rock art, but most particularly of very early rock art (Bednarik 1994b). The ‘taphonomic threshold’ of paintings varies considerably according to environmental conditions, but it seems to be in the Holocene in most circumstances, the obvious exception being deep caves. For petroglyphs, the taphonomic threshold varies from just a few centuries, for figures produced by the sgraffito technique or on poorly consolidated rock, to well beyond 10 000 years, for deeply carved markings on weathering-resistant rock. Location, however, plays a very significant role, and we know that where weathering has little effect, in deep caves, taphonomy has almost no influence on the composition of the surviving sample. This indicates that it would be futile to draw simplistic conclusions from mere statistical evidence. While the heavy distortions of taphonomic processes can be corrected, the processes of doing so are exceedingly complex, and they have certainly not been applied in any meaningful way so far. Until this is done effectively, little can be said statistically about all empirical palaeoart data.

Even without further discussion of taphonomic logic and its application, a subject I have considered adequately elsewhere, some generalised predictions can be made about the nature or type of early rock art to be found in South America. While an early human occupation date in the order of 40–50 000 years must be considered possible, according to the evidence from the Brazilian Noreste region, a minimum of 13–15 000 years of human occupation seems generally acceptable for the continent (Dillehay and Collins 1988). Even this shorter range extends into the presumed ‘taphonomic lag time’ of rock art, particularly in regions of high precipitation and rock weathering rates. If we apply this prediction to the context of very early Brazilian rock art we arrive at the following fundamental propositions, which are similar to those applicable to the archaic rock art of South America generally:

- a. Unless they occur in deep limestone caves or under protective silica skins, rock paintings of the Pleistocene should not be expected to be found. This has nothing to do with the issue of such rock paintings having been made or not, it is merely a taphonomic phenomenon.
- b. The earliest rock art to be found in Brazil will almost certainly consist of petroglyphs.
- c. It will probably occur in sheltered positions or on extremely weathering resistant rock (particularly on well-metamorphosed quartzite).
- d. Taphonomic logic would further predict that the earliest petroglyphs to be found in Brazil will be deeply carved, rather simple designs, and comparisons with other early traditions would predict that they should consist of cupules, convergent lines motifs (e.g. tridents or ‘bird tracks’), parallel linear marks, and a small selection of geometric motifs.

REFERENCES

- BAUMHOFF, M. A. 1980. The evolution of Pomo Society. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 2: 175–185.
- BEAUMONT, P. B. and R. G. BEDNARIK 2013. Tracing the emergence of palaeoart in sub-Saharan Africa. *Rock Art Research* 30(1): 33–54.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 1988. El arte rupestre Boliviano visto desde el exterior. *SIARB Boletín* 2: 22–28.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 1989. On the Pleistocene settlement of South America. *Antiquity* 63: 101–111.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 1990. An Acheulian haematite pebble with striations. *Rock Art Research* 7: 75.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 1993. Palaeolithic art in India. *Man and Environment* 18(2): 33–40.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 1994a. Art origins. *Anthropos* 89: 169–180.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 1994b. A taphonomy of palaeoart. *Antiquity* 68: 68–74.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 1996a. The cupules on Chief’s Rock, Auditorium Cave, Bhimbetka. *The Artefact* 19: 63–72.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 1996b. The Jinnium claims. *The Artefact* 19: 107–108.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 1998. First microerosion analyses of South American petroglyphs. Paper presented to Dating Symposium, IFRAO Congress 1998, Vila Real.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 2000. Age estimates for the petroglyph sequence of Inca Huasi, Mizque, Bolivia. *Andean Past* 6: 277–287.
- BEDNARIK, R. G. 2013. Pleistocene palaeoart of Africa. Special issue ‘World rock art’, ed. R. G. Bednarik, *Arts* 2(1): 6–34; doi:10.3390/arts2010006
- BEDNARIK, R. G., R. QUEREJAZU LEWIS and D. COMACHO in press. The Kalatrancani petroglyph complex, central Bolivia. *Rock Art Research*.
- BEAUNE, S. DE 1993. Nonflint stone tools of the early Upper Palaeolithic. In H. Knecht, A. Pike-Tay and R. White (eds), *Before Lascaux: The complex record of the early Upper Paleolithic*, pp. 163–191. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- CHAFFEE, S. D., M. HYMAN and M. ROWE 1993. AMS ¹⁴C dating of rock paintings. In J. Steinbring, A. Watchman, P. Faulstich, and P. S. C. Taçon (eds), *Time and space: dating and spatial considerations in rock art research*, pp. 67–73. Occasional AURA Publication 8, Australian Rock Art Research Association, Melbourne.
- CLARK, J. D. 1958. The Chifubwa Stream rock shelter, Solwezi, northern Rhodesia. *South African Archaeological Bulletin* 13(49): 21–24.
- CRIVELLI MONTERO, E. A. and M. M. FERNÁNDEZ 1996. Palaeoindian bedrock petroglyphs at Epullán Grande Cave, northern Patagonia, Argentina. *Rock Art Research* 13: 112–117.
- DILLEHAY, T. D. and M. B. COLLINS 1988. Early cultural evidence from Monte Verde in Chile. *Nature* 332: 150–152.
- DUBELAAR, C. N. 1986. *South American and Caribbean petroglyphs*. Foris Publications, Dordrecht.
- FULLAGAR, R., D. PRICE and L. HEAD 1996. Early human occupation of northern Australia: archaeology and thermoluminescence dating of Jinnium rock-shelter, Northern Territory. *Antiquity* 70: 751–773.
- GUIDON, N. 1975. *Abris peints de la Serra de Capivara, région de Varze Grande, État du Piauí, Brésil*. Institut d’Ethnologie, URA 5, Paris.
- GUIDON, N. 1981. Datações pelo C14 de sítios arqueológicos em Sao Raimundo Nonato, sudeste do Piauí (Brasil). *Clio* 4: 35–37.
- GUIDON, N.: 1984. Les premières occupations humaines de l’aire archéologique de Sao Raimundo Nonato - Piauí - Brésil. *L’Anthropologie* (Paris) 88: 263–271.
- GUIDON, N. 1985. A arte pré-histórica da área arqueológica de Sao

- Raimundo Nonato: síntese de dez anos de pesquisas. *Clio* 7: 3–80.
- GUIDON, N. and G. DELIBRIAS 1986. Carbon-14 dates point to man in the Americas 32,000 years ago. *Nature* 321: 769–771.
- HEIZER, R. F. and M. A. BAUMHOFF 1962. *Prehistoric rock art of Nevada and eastern California*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- KUMAR, G. 1996. Daraki-Chattan: a Palaeolithic cupule site in India. *Rock Art Research* 13: 38–46.
- MARSHACK, A. 1981. On Paleolithic ochre and the early uses of color and symbol. *Current Anthropology* 22: 188–191.
- MOUNTJOY, J. B. 1987. Antiquity, interpretation, and stylistic evolution of petroglyphs in west Mexico. *American Antiquity* 52: 161–174.
- NISSEN, K. M., and E. W. RITTER 1986. Cupped rock art in north-central California: Hypotheses regarding age and social/ecological context. *American Indian Rock Art* 11: 59–74.
- PARENTI, F. 1993. Le gisement préhistorique du pléistocène supérieur de Pedra Furada (Piauí, Brésil). Considérations chronostratigraphiques et implications paléolithologiques. *Documents Laboratoire Géologique Lyon* 125: 303–313.
- PARKMAN, E. B. 1992. Toward a Proto-Hokan ideology. In *Ancient images, ancient thought: the archaeology of ideology*, edited by S. Goldsmith, S. Garvie, D. Selin and J. Smith, pp. 365–370. Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Chacmool Conference, University of Calgary, Calgary.
- PARKMAN, E. B. 1994. Lungumari Puntilla: A cupule petroglyph occurrence on the south coast of Peru. *American Indian Rock Art* 20: 35–44.
- PEYRONY, D. 1934. La Ferrassie. *Préhistoire* 3: 1–92.
- STONE, D. 1972. *Pre-Columbian man finds Central America*. Peabody Museum Press, Cambridge.
- TAÇON, P. S. C., R. FULLAGAR, S. OUZMAN and K. MULVANEY 1997. Cupule engravings from Jinnium-Granilpi (northern Australia) and beyond: exploration of a widespread and enigmatic class of rock markings. *Antiquity* 71: 942–965.
- WELCH, D. 1993. Early 'naturalistic' human figures in the Kimberley, Australia. *Rock Art Research* 10: 24–37.

BACK ISSUES of RAR

Back issues of *Rock Art Research* are available, beginning with the November 1988 issue. For a full set of the journal the cost is \$A330.00, which includes postage in Australia, or US\$280.00 plus applicable postage anywhere else in the world (weight about 11 kg).

For international postage rates please contact AURA. These differ significantly between surface and air mail delivery, and surface mail service is not available to New Zealand and Asia Pacific.

Individual copies or annual volumes are available at the current rates.

Special discount on back issues of RAR

However, this set of *RAR*, minus four issues that are almost out of print, is now available for a limited period for just

\$A200.00

within Australia (plus difference in postage costs elsewhere).

Take advantage of this special offer to secure a major library resource in rock art studies.

Please order back issues from:

AURA
P.O. Box 216
Caulfield South, VIC 3162
Australia

or auraweb@hotmail.com

We accept Visa and MasterCard.

Basic information concerning the 2014 IFRAO Congress, China

Theme: Rock Art, Man, Ecology

Time: July 22–28, 2014

Place: Guiyang City, Guizhou Province, P. R. China

Host: The Rock Art Research Association of China (RARAC)

Sessions:

- I. Oceanian rock art
- II. African and Mid-Eastern rock art
- III. European rock art
- IV. North American rock art
- V. South American rock art
- VI. Southeastern, southern Asian and South-west China's rock art
- VII. North Asian and north China's rock art
- VIII. Rock art in east China and north Pacific Rim
- IX. Rock art and Chinese archaeological cultures
- X. Theory and methodology in rock art studies
- XI. Iconography and meaning of rock art
- XII. Symbol and rock art
- XIII. Techniques of rock art
- XIV. Conservation and management of rock art
- XV. Rock art and megalithic culture
- XVI. Dating research of rock art

Fieldtrips to rock art sites in China:

I. North China Line

- i. (Line 1) Line of rock art in Chifeng, Inner Mongolia (Yin River rock art, rock art in Ongniud Banner, Bai Cha River rock art)
- ii. (Line 2) Line of Yin Mountains and Wuhai rock art (Yin Mountains rock art, rock art in Wuhai)
- iii. (Line 3) Line of Alxa rock art (Mandela rock art, Camel Mountain rock art)
- iv. (Line 4) Line of Helan Mountain rock art

(Shizui Mountains, Helankou and Damaidi rock art)

- v. (Line 5) Line of rock art in Yin Mountain, Wuhai and Helan Mountain (major rock art sites in Ningxia and Inner Mongolia)

II. Northwest China Line

- vi. (Line 1) Line of rock art in Ürümqi and Altai, Xinjiang (Rock art in Altai Region, Xinjiang)
- vii. (Line 2) Line of rock art in Ürümqi and Ili, Xinjiang (rock art in Ili Region, Xinjiang)
- viii. (Line 3) Line of rock art in Ürümqi and Tian Shan (rock art in Hutubi and Changji, Xinjiang)
- ix. (Line 4) Line of rock art in various regions of Xinjiang (rock art in Altai, Ili, Changji and Hutubi)

III. Southwest China Line

- x. (Line 1) Line of Huashan rock art, in Guangxi (rock art along Zuo River valley, Guangxi)
- xi. (Line 2) Line of Jinsha River rock art, Yunnan (rock art along Jinsha River valley, in Diqing, Yunnan)
- xii. (Line 3) Line of rock art in Canyuan, Yunnan (rock art in Canyuan, Yunnan)
- xiii. (Line 4) Line of rock art in Guizhou (rock art in Longli, Guangjialing, Guizhou)
- xiv. (Line 5) Line of rock art in various regions in southwest China (rock art in Guizhou, Huashan, Wenshan and Canyuan)

IV. East China Line

- xv. (Line 1) Line of rock art in Juci Mountain, Henan (rock art in Central China's Juci

Mountain)

- xvi. (Line 2) Line of rock art in Fangcheng and Wugang (rock art in Fangcheng, Biyang and Wugang)
- xvii. (Line 3) Line of rock art in Lian Yungang, Jiangsu (general cliff rock art, cupules in Lian Yungang)
- xviii. (Line 4) Line of rock art in various regions in east China (central China's cupules and rock art in Lian Yungang)

Notes

1. Lines 5, 9, 14 and 18 belong to comprehensive fieldtrips of rock art sites. Arranged in accordance with all rock art sites in some major region, they are therefore lines involving long travel times and include three or more rock art sites. They usually take one more than 20 days.

2. Details concerning the itinerary, time and cost of rock art fieldtrips will appear at www.chinarockart.com on October 15, 2013, when the registration for rock art fieldtrips will begin.

Concerning the submission of 2014 IFRAO Congress papers

- 1. Colleagues who have an interest in the 2014 IFRAO Congress are expected to submit their paper titles and abstracts with up to 150 words in English to the organising committee of the 2014 IFRAO Congress before 30 October 2013.
- 2. Full papers should be submitted to the organising committee of 2014 IFRAO Congress before 31 December 2013.

Recent North American rock art protection issues

Petroglyph thefts near Bishop stun federal authorities, Paiutes

By Louis Sahagun, *Los Angeles Times*
18 November 2012

BISHOP, CA. — At least four ancient petroglyphs were cut from cliffs at the Volcanic Tableland and dozens of others damaged in ‘the worst act of vandalism ever seen’ on federal lands in the area.

Ancient hunters and gatherers etched vivid petroglyphs on cliffs in the Eastern Sierra that withstood winds, flash floods and earthquakes for more than 3500 years. Thieves needed only a few hours to cut them down and haul them away.

Federal authorities say at least four petroglyphs have been taken from the site. A fifth was defaced with deep saw cuts on three sides. A sixth had been removed and broken during the theft, then propped against a boulder near a visitor parking lot. Dozens of other petroglyphs were scarred by hammer strikes and saw cuts.

‘The individuals who did this were not surgeons, they were smashing and grabbing’, U.S. Bureau of Land Management archaeologist Greg Haverstock said last week as he examined the damage. ‘This was the worst act of vandalism ever seen’ on the 750 000 acres of public land managed by the BLM field office in Bishop.

The theft required extraordinary effort: ladders, electric generators and power saws had to be driven into the remote

and arid high desert site near Bishop. Thieves gouged holes in the rock and sheared off slabs that were up to 4.5 m above ground and 0.6 m high and wide.

Visitors discovered the theft and reported it to the BLM on 31 October 2012. BLM field office manager Bernadette Lovato delivered the bad news to Paiute-Shoshone tribal leaders in Bishop.

‘It was the toughest telephone call I ever had to make’, Lovato said. ‘Their culture and spiritual beliefs had been horribly violated. We will do everything in our power to bring those pieces back’.

The region is known as Volcanic Tableland. It is held sacred by Native Americans whose ancestors adorned hundreds of lava boulders with spiritual renderings: concentric circles, deer, rattlesnakes, bighorn sheep and hunters with bows and arrows.

For generations, Paiute-Shoshone tribal members and whites have lived side by side but not together in Bishop. But desecration of the site, which Native Americans still use in spiritual ceremonies, has forced reservation officials and U.S. authorities to come together and ask a tough question: can further vandalism be prevented?

The BLM is offering a \$1000 reward for information leading to the arrest and conviction of the thieves. Damaging or removing the petroglyphs is a felony. first-time offenders can be imprisoned for up to one year and fined as much as \$20 000, authorities said. Second-time offenders can be fined up to \$100 000 and imprisoned up to five years.

Ancient petroglyph location damaged

By Ben Ingram, *The Daily News (Nanaimo)* 2013
Monday, 6 May 2013

Members of the Snuneymuxw First Nation are outraged after B.C. (British Columbia) Hydro-contracted machinery damaged a documented ancient petroglyph site near Nanaimo, Vancouver Island, this week.

B.C. Hydro confirmed on Saturday one of its contractors had started work in the area, unaware it contained the petroglyphs and in a statement said it would work with the Snuneymuxw to address their concerns and prevent 'anything like this from happening again'.

'Although we have clear policies and procedures in place that must be followed near heritage resources, it appears that this site was erroneously omitted from the information provided to the contractor', said B.C. Hydro Director of Aboriginal Relations Lyle Viereck in a statement. 'B.C. Hydro is continuing to investigate this incident and gather the facts'.

The damaged site is known to archeologists by the title Cedar By the Sea Petroglyphs and had been registered with the province since the early 1970s.

A documentation form provided by Snuneymuxw listed previous damage in 1960 when a power pole was installed in close proximity to the petroglyph. The rock art is etched into the surface of flat bedrock sandstone close to a road and includes depictions of creatures and human faces.

'This is a notoriously well-known site', said Snuneymuxw chief Douglas White. 'I don't understand this to be a mistake that can be made . . . this is the kind of desecration where I would expect charges to be laid. This is a total outrage and disrespect of my people and heritage'.

Locations of petroglyphs are guarded to prevent risk of vandalism but a legislation exists to prevent construction from taking place near known sites.

Archeologist Guy Prouty with Vancouver Island University described the incident as shameful and said it was inexcusable that an inspection of the area was not carried out before construction. Rock art served a variety of functions for its indigenous creators and lasting examples represent an ethnographic record of their history that is spiritual or mythical in nature.

White said members of his community are deeply upset by the incident and said a full response would be forthcoming.

The site has been listed on an archeological registry since the early 1970s as a site of significance, leaving Snuneymuxw Chief Douglas White wondering how information identifying the site did not reach the contractor.

'It's an extremely upsetting thing that has happened to a very sacred and important site in Snuneymuxw,' said White, who learned of the incident May 3. 'What's really shocking is that this site is very well known. There are many, many sites like this throughout Snuneymuxw territory ... but still it's always a challenge for us to figure out how to protect these sites. To protect them you have to publicise them but publicising them makes them known and exposes them to

attention – sometimes attention you don't want.'

Under provincial legislation there is a maximum \$1-million fine for corporations that damage registered historical sites. B.C. Hydro was responsible for similar damage to a Snuneymuxw petroglyph back in the 1960s. (Provided by John McGovern)

The following comment has been made by Ojibwa elder Black Bear to AURA member John McGovern on 8 May 2013 in response to the above:

Yup, there are those that do not understand how important the glyphs are to future generations. None of the glyphs, anywhere in the world, would have been done in stone if they were not meant to span the eons. From the golden age they have been done along with many wonders of the world. The building of the pyramids ... cutting of stone blocks over 120 tons papyrus, metal, tablets and velum. With all the understanding of the above, why would the ancestors be so adamant of using stone to tell their stories unless ...? You know, John, all over the world there is a Department of Mines. Where on earth is the Department of Ours hiding???

Maybe under our pillows?
Bear

Snuneymuxw, Hydro reach an agreement

Sides are optimistic that damage caused to a Cedar petroglyph will result in positive change for future
By Ben Ingram, *The Daily News (Nanaimo)*
Friday, 28 June 2013

The Snuneymuxw First Nation is optimistic that damage to a petroglyph in Cedar caused by a contractor with B.C. Hydro will result in a positive change that prevents similar events from happening in the future.

B.C. Hydro said on Thursday that a series of meetings with Snuneymuxw would lead to increased protection of culturally-sensitive locations across the province.

The two have reached agreement on process changes that are expected to give greater protection to culturally sensitive locations across the province.

'We deeply regret the damage to the petroglyph as we take our responsibilities around these sites very seriously', said B.C. Hydro executive director of aboriginal relations Donia Snow in a written statement to the *Daily News*.

The meetings between Snuneymuxw and the utility were a result of damage to a rock face known by archeologists as the Cedar By the Sea Petroglyphs near Nanaimo.

The petroglyphs were registered with the province in the 1970s and documentation held by Snuneymuxw showed that it had been damaged by the installation of a power pole in 1960.

While the site is a known one, a contractor who went to work in the area on 20 April was not warned of its presence. Heavy equipment was driven over the site and the petroglyphs were damaged.

B.C. Hydro said it hopes the incident would lead to an 'improved process and understanding with respect to these

culturally and spiritually significant sites.

‘We have had a number of subsequent meetings with Snuneymuxw which have helped improve our knowledge and understanding of a number of issues, including the petroglyphs and their importance’, said Snow.

Snuneymuxw Chief Doug White shared Snow’s optimism.

‘We’re going to do all the prospective work to make sure something like this never happens again’, he said. ‘These

are not spaces or places that people should be approaching in a casual way’.

The Cedar By the Sea petroglyph depicts creatures and human faces, etched into the bedrock.

Locations of petroglyphs are guarded to prevent risk of vandalism but a legislation exists to prevent construction from taking place near known sites.

B.C. Hydro has said human error was to blame for the failure to notify its contractor of the sensitive site.

AURA Treasurer’s financial statement 2011/2012

ELFRIEDE BEDNARIK

Balance in hand on 30 June 2011: \$9764.97

INCOME:	\$	EXPENDITURES:	\$
Sales of books	1385.60	Postage	718.97
Bank interest	501.60	Business Affairs Registration	42.80
Registrations, Adelaide Symposium	2970.00	Telephone and faxes	30.56
		Fieldtrip guides	621.00
		Bank and merchant account fees	403.98
		Royalties for <i>Australian Apocalypse</i>	3488.52
TOTAL	4857.20	TOTAL	5305.83

Balance in hand on 30 June 2012: \$9316.34

The major expenditure for the financial year was the royalties payment made to the Rock Art Preservation Fund, donating the profit from the sales of the book about the destruction of the Dampier rock art, *Australian Apocalypse: the story of Australia’s greatest cultural monument* by R. G. Bednarik. As stated in that book, all money recouped from its sale would be directed into the RAPF, after all costs of producing and distributing the book had been met. The RAPF-funded campaign has been extraordinarily successful: the listing of the rock art precinct on the National

Heritage List was achieved in 2007; the monument has recently (January 2013) become the Murujuga National Park; and its submission for World Heritage Listing is being prepared.

The major income for the year are the registrations received up to 30 June 2012, for the AURA Inter-Congress Symposium held in Flinders University, Adelaide, later in 2012. The balance of the registrations and the costs of that event will be in the financial statement of the following year.

AURA Newsletter

Editor: Robert G. Bednarik

Editorial address: AURA, P.O. Box 216, Caulfield South, Victoria 3162, Australia

Tel./Fax No.: (613) 9523 0549

E-mail: auraweb@hotmail.com

© This publication is copyright. Published by Archaeological Publications, Melbourne.