

The cave petroglyphs of Australia

Robert Bednarik

Rock art in deep limestone caves is a comparatively rare phenomenon in Australia. There are three such sites in Tasmania, with mostly hand stencils (Cosgrove and Jones 1989). At one of them, Judds Cavern, radiocarbon dating using Accelerator Mass Spectrometry has recently been applied to pigment containing remains of human blood, which yielded two dates of the final Pleistocene/early Holocene (Loy et al 1990). At five sites on the mainland, hand stencils are restricted to the entrance regions of limestone caves: four sites on the Nullarbor Plain (Lane and Richards 1966), and one in the extreme southwest (Morse 1984). There are sporadic occurrences of rock art in the entrances of limestone caves elsewhere, for instance in the Chillagoe region of north Queensland (David and David 1988).

The 34 cave petroglyph sites of southern Australia are those considered here as a distinctive type of site. One of their art forms (the finger flutings) is entirely restricted to them and occurs at no other type of site—but it is found in the Palaeolithic cave art of western Europe; another (tool marks on formerly soft limestone surfaces) has probably not survived outside of caves. The Karake style has stylistic similarities with open air petroglyphs in various parts of Australia, but in the caves it is associated with the traces of several

unique behaviour patterns (deep pits and 'alveoli', and subterranean mining of chert or chalcedony). Finally, the shallow engravings occur only at a few of these sites and are probably not site type-specific.

Site type profile

Australian cave petroglyph sites are of a very distinctive type profile, which in various ways separates them from all other forms of rock art. They occur invariably in Tertiary limestone karst regions (with the exception of New Guinea 2 Cave, which is in Devonian metamorphosed limestone); they are restricted to true caves and are often found in permanent darkness; they include only designs devoid of 'figurative' elements (excepting one or two instances of apparently figurative motifs, which may or may not represent objects, and were added comparatively recently); they are rarely associated with occupation evidence, and where it does occur it seems to be restricted to the entrance and is not necessarily contemporary with the art; the petroglyphs occur usually together with natural wall markings, particularly animal scratches (Bednarik 1980a); and where more than one 'tradition' or phase of human activity traces occurs at one site, the different traces are frequently separated chronologically by the evidence of geomorphological events (tectonic adjustments, roof falls, subsidences, inundations,

speleo-weathering processes or biospheric weathering), the magnitude of which suggests a great separation in time. This last factor, and certain other considerations, have given rise to the view that a large part of this body of art may be of Pleistocene antiquity.

Another aspect relevant to the site type profile is the spatial (but not necessarily chronological) co-occurrence of this art with silica mining evidence, which is among the oldest evidence of this kind in the world. Pleistocene silica mining is known from one cave site each in Hungary and France (Bednarik 1986a; 1990a), and from two alluvial sites in Egypt (Vermeersch et al 1986). In Australia, extensive traces of subterranean silica mining have been located in nine caves, and in six of them they occur close to the petroglyphs described here (Bednarik 1986a; 1990a). I distinguish five basic mining methods at the Australian prehistoric silica mines. Their complexity shows that the technological achievements and resourcefulness of Pleistocene Australians as well as their knowledge of geological phenomena have remained inadequately understood and appreciated by 'prehistorians'.

Description of the art

A simplified taxonomy of Australian cave petroglyphs leads to the identification of six basic classes:

A. *Finger flutings*: They occur on formerly soft calcite deposits which in all but two sites are of a secondary, ie reprecipitated carbonate (moonmilk, *Montmilch*). Consisting of a microscopic, fibre-like lattice of calcite crystals, it can absorb vast amounts of water and can be as soft as snow. These white cave deposits were extensively marked by

SITE NAME	State	Region	A. Finger flutings	B. Karake style	C. Tool marks	D. Deep pits	E. Shallow engraving	F. Recent petrogl.	Chert mining	Occupation proven	Rock painting	Year of first recording
Koonalda Cave	SA	Null.	3	3				3	x			57
Malangine Cave	SA	G	2	2		1	1	1	x			80
Koongine Cave	SA	G	2		1	1	1		x			80
Gran Gran Cave	SA	G	2					3	2			83
Koorine Cave	SA	G	1									83
Marmine Cave	SA	G	2									83
Karake Cave	SA	G	2	2								83
Karlie-ngoinpool	SA	G	3	3	2	3	3	3				83
Walnut Cave	SA	G	1									84
Prung-kart Cave	SA	G	2					2				84
Snake Hill Cave	SA	G	2									84
Drop Drop Cave	SA	G	2									84
Karra Cave	SA	G	2									84
Murna Cave	SA	G	1									84
Kra-we-all Cave	SA	G		2								84
Paroong Cave	SA	G	1	3	1	2						84
Snowflake Cave	SA	G	2									84
Mooraa Cave	SA	G	1	2								84
Nganap Cave	SA	G	3									84
Nung-kol Cave	SA	G	2	2	3							84
Kooramo Cave	SA	G	2									85
Kooraa Cave	SA	G	1	1								85
Mar-e Cave	SA	G	1									85
Kurt Cave	SA	G	1									86
Boopeo Cave	SA	G	1									86
Mushroom Cave	SA	G	1									86
Unnamed 1	Vic	G				2		1				89
Unnamed 2	Vic	G		1							1	89
Unnamed 3	SA	G	1									89
Unnamed 4	Vic	G	1									89
New Guinea 2	Vic	Buch.	2		1				x			77
Orch. Shell C.	WA	Perth	3		2				x			71
Middle Cave	WA	Perth	1									89
Mandurah Cave	WA	Perth	1		2							84

Legend: Magnitude rating of art: 1-minor, 2-medium, 3-major art concentration of the type specified.

Table 1

The cave petroglyph sites of Australia as of July 1990.

prehistoric people (in France, Spain and Australia), and they survived in some cases through desiccation or carbonatisation (Bednarik 1980b). Only about 40 such sites are known world-wide, and the majority of

them occur within 40 km of Mount Gambier. The finger flutings are often considered to be among the earliest forms of intentional marks on rock surfaces that have survived to the present.

B. Karake style: These petroglyphs are deeply abraded (up to 40 mm deep) and probably often pounded. Motif types are dominated by circles and cell-like or tortoise shell-like arrangements of enclosures. The circles are usually under 50 cm but may range up to about a metre in diameter, while the panels of mazes may extend over several metres. Motifs also include parallel lines, arcuate designs, 'convergent lines motifs' (including the 'trident' but also with two, four or five 'toes', which are not necessarily connected at the point of convergence), wave lines, circles with internal design (vertical barring or lozenge lattice), and radial and dot arrangements. This motif range has many parallels in other Australian rock arts which are frequently considered to be of Pleistocene age and it is very similar to that of pre-iconic art globally. Two such sites (Karolita 1 in South Australia and Sandy Creek in north Queensland) have recently provided tentative, very early dates (in excess of 30,000 BP) for similar petroglyphs at open and shelter sites.

C. Tool marks: There is no indication that these are utilitarian and, in contrast to the Karake motifs which are found on walls only, they are as likely to occur on ceilings. They may form groups of sub-parallel lines or occur as apparently unstructured assemblages, but occasionally they form patterns such as lattices. The tool material used in their production has been identified at two of the sites, and internal analysis has provided much information about production sequences (Bednarik 1990b).

D. Deep pits: Traces of a widespread activity in which a soft rock, such as a cave wall, has been extensively marked by a non-utilitarian but quite specific percussion activity that resulted in large panels of deep gashes, including the highly

distinctive, pocket-shaped 'alveoli'. This phenomenon is not restricted to caves and has not been properly examined, described or even recognised at open sites.

E. *Shallow engravings*: They are incised with usually single strokes of a pointed tool, and are frequently responses to earlier designs of which they are sometimes copies. The 'shallow engravings' occur at very few cave sites and are separated from the preceding Karake style by a substantial layer of cutaneous calcite precipitate in Malangine Cave.

F. *Recent petroglyphs*: Occur at only two of the cave sites, and only at the entrances.

Dating and archaeology

It is clear from the superimposition sequence of laminar calcite deposits which separate art traditions physically, as well as from other evidence, that many finger flutings are substantially older than the Karake petroglyphs, and that the shallow engravings are younger than the Karake tradition. Preliminary dating (the first direct radiometric dating of rock art in the world) has suggested that the shallow engravings are of mid-Holocene age and the deeply carved Karake motifs are at least early Holocene (Bednarik 1980c, 1984a, 1984b, 1985; Frankel 1986) but probably extend well into the Pleistocene. The finger markings are thought to be well in excess of 20,000 years old at Koonalda Cave (Gallus 1986; Bednarik 1986a). However, the actual age of any of the art remains unresolved. Apart from the clear sequence at some sites of classes A-B-E/F, chronological relationships remain unclear. Class C and D markings may relate to any phase, or to none, but class C has never been observed to precede class A, and there is corroborating

evidence (such as past fluctuations in floor level) suggesting that C postdates A. Nevertheless, there is no guarantee that none of the finger markings are of Holocene age, in fact there are known occurrences of modern finger markings in five Australian caves. The relative chronological placement of the chert mining remains uncertain, except that at all art sites where it occurs it coincides with finger flutings. But this may still be coincidence, and the mining evidence also occurs at three caves without the finger marks.

Some of the Australian cave petroglyph sites have been subjected to detailed archaeological studies: Orchestra Shell Cave (Hallam 1971), Koonalda Cave (Gallus 1971; Wright 1971), Malangine and Koongine Caves (Frankel 1986, 1989), New Guinea 2 Cave (P. Ossa). Most of the archaeological data are not directly relevant to the art as the sites were frequented at various times; the art cannot be convincingly related to any of the occupation phases, and may in fact relate to none of them. In some cases the occupation evidence is probably more recent than the art, eg in Orchestra Shell Cave, where the occupation stratum is in a deposit that formed after a floor subsidence occurred, whereas the art antedates the time of that collapse.

About the sites and their protection

Cave petroglyph sites occur in four distinct clusters across southern Australia which are probably related to geological factors rather than cultural ones. Three sites are known near Perth (Bednarik 1987-88), one on the Nullarbor Plain (Gallus 1968), 29 near Mount Gambier (Aslin and Bednarik 1984a, 1984b, 1984c, 1985; Aslin, Bednarik and Bednarik 1985; Bahn 1987; Bednarik 1980a, 1980b,

1980c, 1984a, 1984b, 1985, 1986a, 1986b, 1986c, 1987a, 1987b, 1988, 1989a, 1989b, 1990a) and one near Buchan. Table 1 lists these sites and the types of traces so far found in them. For the locations of the site clusters, the maps in Bednarik (1986a) and Bednarik (1986b) can be consulted. However, the precise locations of most of the sites remain confidential, for their protection. Especially in the case of the Mount Gambier sites the research team responsible for their study (the Parietal Markings Project) has adopted a policy of effecting visitation control measures before revealing details of the sites to the public, and this has been found to be a most effective preservation measure. Of the 29 known cave petroglyph sites near Mount Gambier, only the following have so far been protected effectively: Malangine, Koongine, Paroong and Prung-kart Caves; the protection of Gran Gran Cave is currently proceeding. It is to be noted that the cave art is generally more prone to damage and deterioration than rock art at open air or shelter sites. Not only is it more susceptible to mechanical damage, it is potentially sensitive to biological damage: exhaled carbon dioxide, as well as introduced micro-organisms, algae and spores contribute to its deterioration (Bednarik 1988). At the majority of the Mount Gambier sites visitation is generally restricted to an absolute minimum required for study and documentation, and the duration of visits is limited to allow the speleoclimate to recover from the changes in relative air humidity, ambient temperature and carbon dioxide levels to equilibrium conditions.

One of the more spectacular of the Mount Gambier cave art sites is Paroong Cave. Its deeply carved petroglyphs were threatened by

several factors resulting from European modification of the cave hydrology and speleoclimate, as well as by uncontrolled human visitation. The site was subjected to a major study and preservation project which included the re-establishment of conditions resembling those of the pre-European period, as well as the installation of visitor access and restriction facilities (Bednarik 1988). The project was partly funded under the Rock Art Protection Program of the then Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies (Ward and Sullivan 1989).

In the Mount Gambier region our project has resulted in the need to name new rock art sites, for which words of the Buandik language were chosen in every case. We have in fact even renamed a few sites with dull European names and this 11 year old practice has precipitated a trend elsewhere in Australia. The Aboriginal community of Mount Gambier is involved in our project with great enthusiasm, monitoring and strongly supporting our activities, and providing valuable guidance in matters of research priorities and preservation (Bednarik 1989b).

In addition to some of the Mount Gambier sites, access restriction facilities have also been provided at Koonalda Cave (Nullarbor) and New Guinea 2 Cave (Buchan). Those at the latter site are effective, those at Koonalda are not, but it is hoped that an Aboriginal warden will soon be appointed there (R Ware, pers comm).

Conclusions

The purpose of this paper is to provide a brief introduction to one of the most unusual forms of rock art in Australia, and to provide a

reasonably comprehensive bibliography for further perusal of this subject. The scientific significance of the cave sites, like that of the Palaeolithic 'cave art' sites of Europe, remains inadequately understood. It relates primarily to their potential role in the analysis of the complex, cumulative art assemblages at major rock shelter or pavement sites. Australian archaeology may have succeeded reasonably well in 'reconstructing' a kind of 'prehistory' which describes the ecological history of pre-European peoples in this country—their economic, demographic and technological responses to environmental conditions and changes. It has not dealt with the cultural dynamics of Aboriginal people in a satisfactory way.

For instance, Australian archaeologists have been remarkably aloof even to the most obvious function of rock art, that of a cultural determinant through its relative permanence and, frequently, prominence. Many of the country's major rock art sites may have been in use over tens of thousands of years, by people of very diverse cultural affiliations or conventions, who adopted and often adapted previous rock arts for their graphic traditions. Instead of capitalising on the potential of rock art to illuminate cultural dynamics (ranging from extreme conservatism to stylistic volatility), archaeologists have resorted to a stale 'stylistic' ahistoricism and to extreme forms of naive empiricism (such as subjecting complex art sites to simplistic statistical assessment, under the guise of Eurocentric 'objectivity'). There would be various ways of escaping this quagmire of scientifically irrelevant positivism, one of which is to focus on rock arts in very specific, rarely frequented

localities (conversely, syntactic methodology would be another). Deep caves are ideal for this purpose because they may have been entered only during discrete periods, and due to their often unstable tectonic conditions it is sometimes far easier to spatially separate and discern chronological entities in caves than it is in open sites. Thus the main scientific role of cave art is to provide, at least in some instances, 'freeze frame' views of specific traditions, even of specific events. Once identified these may be used in the analytical study of other rock arts, which frequently document not specific traditions, but present us instead with a complex record of responses to rock art the analysis of which is outside the realm of simplistic archaeology.

This understanding of the significance of Australian cave petroglyphs no doubt determines my priorities in future research. It is clear from the work to date that Australian caves were used at different times, by pre-European peoples with widely differing cultural preoccupations, and subjected to highly specific patterns of activity (see especially Bednarik 1986a). Events of utilisation were often widely separated in time, and next to identifying and interpreting them reliably it is particularly important to secure absolute dating for them. Once this is available it will become possible to probe the extremely complex cognitive and cultural processes determining cultural development in Australia, of which general rock art happens to form only a partial and quite distorted record, and which have so far resisted any attempts to unravel, define, explain or synthesise them. Therefore the cave petroglyphs described here form a key element in the cognitive archaeology of Australia.

REFERENCES

- Aslin, G.D. and R.G. Bednarik 1984a Karake Cave: A Preliminary Report, *The Artefact* 8, 33-35.
- Aslin, G.D. and R.G. Bednarik 1984b Karlie-ngoinpool Cave: A Preliminary Report, *Rock Art Research* 1, 36-45.
- Aslin, G.D. and R.G. Bednarik 1984c Koorine Cave, South Australia, *Rock Art Research* 1, 142-44.
- Aslin, G.D. and R.G. Bednarik 1985 Mooraa Cave: A Preliminary Report, *Rock Art Research* 2, 160-65.
- Aslin, G.D., E.K. Bednarik and R.G. Bednarik 1985 The 'Parietal Markings Project': A Progress Report, *Rock Art Research* 2, 71-74.
- Bahn, P.G. 1987 A la Recherche de l'Iconographie Paléolithique hors de l'Europe, *Travaux de l'Institut d'Art Préhistorique, Toulouse* 29, 8-18.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1980a On Natural Cave Markings, unpublished manuscript.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1980b Parietal Finger Lines, Their Medium and Their Dating, unpublished manuscript.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1980c Malangine and Koongine Caves, South Australia, unpublished manuscript.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1984a Die Bedeutung der Paläolithischen Fingerlinientradition, *Anthropologie* 23, 73-79.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1984b On the Nature of Psychograms, *The Artefact* 8, 27-32.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1985 Parietal Finger Markings in Australia, *Bollettino del Centro Camuno di Studi Preistorici* 22, 83-88.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1986a Cave Use by Australian Pleistocene Man, *Proceedings of the University of Bristol Spelaeological Society* 17, 227-45.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1986b Parietal Finger Markings in Europe and Australia, *Rock Art Research* 3, 30-61 and 159-70.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1986c The Parietal Art of South Australia, *Journal of the Anthropological Society of South Australia* 24, 3-21.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1987a The Cave Art of Australia, *American Indian Rock Art* 12 (in press).
- Bednarik, R.G. 1987b Engramme und Phosphene, *Zeitschrift für Ethnologie* 112, 223-35.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1987-88 The Cave Art of Western Australia, *The Artefact* 12, 1-16.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1988 *The Paroong Cave Preservation Project*, Report for the AIAS, Occasional AURA Publication No 1, Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies, Canberra.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1989a Perspectives of Koongine Cave and Scientific Archaeology, *Australian Archaeology* 29, 9-16.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1989b Cave Art Studies and the Aboriginal Community in the South East, *Aboriginal Heritage Newsletter* 29, 3-4.
- Bednarik, R.G. 1990a About Pleistocene Chert Mining, *Sahara* 3 (in press).
- Bednarik, R.G. 1990b Base pour des Etudes de Pointe des Debuts de l'Art, *L'Anthropologie* 94 (in press).
- Cosgrove, R. and R. Jones 1989 Judds Cavern: A Subterranean Aboriginal Painting Site, Southern Tasmania, *Rock Art Research* 6, 96-104.
- David, B. and M. David 1988 Rock Pictures of the Chillagoe-Mungana Limestone Belt, North Queensland, *Rock Art Research* 5, 147-56.
- Frankel, D. 1986 Excavations in the Lower Southeast of South Australia: November 1985, *Australian Archaeology* 22, 75-87.
- Frankel, D. 1989 Koongine Cave Excavations 1986-7: Investigating Spatial Patterning, *Australian Archaeology* 22, 3-13.
- Gallus, A. 1968 Parietal Art in Koonalda Cave, Nullarbor Plain, South Australia, *Helictite* 6, 43-49.
- Gallus, A. 1971 Results of the Exploration of Koonalda Cave, 1956-1968. In R.V.S. Wright (ed), *Archaeology of the Gallus Site, Koonalda Cave*, Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies, Canberra, 87-133.
- Gallus, A. 1986 Comment on R.G. Bednarik, 'Parietal Finger Markings in Europe and Australia', *Rock Art Research* 3, 56-59.
- Hallam, S.J. 1971 Roof Markings in the 'Orchestra Shell' Cave, Wanneroo, Near Perth, Western Australia, *Mankind* 8, 90-103.
- Lane, E.A. and A.M. Richards 1966 Hand Paintings in Caves, *Helictite* 4, 33-50.
- Loy, T.H., R. Jones, D.E. Nelson, B. Meehan, J. Vogel, J. Southon and R. Cosgrove 1990 Accelerator Radiocarbon Dating of Human Blood Proteins in Pigments from Late Pleistocene Art Sites in Australia, *Antiquity* 64, 110-16.
- Morse, K. 1984 First Record of Painted Aboriginal Rock Art in a South-Western Australian Limestone Cave, *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 11, 197-99.
- Vermeersch, P.M., E. Paulissen, G. Gijssels and J. Janssen 1986 Middle Palaeolithic Chert Exploitation Pits Near Qena (Upper Egypt), *Paléorient* 12, 61-65.
- Ward, G.K. and S. Sullivan 1989 The Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies Rock Art Protection Program, *Rock Art Research* 6, 54-62.
- Wright, R.V.S. (ed) 1971 *Archaeology of the Gallus Site, Koonalda Cave*, Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies, Canberra.